International Journal of World Economic Research
ISSN: 3080-9886 (Print), ISSN: 3080-9894 (Online) | Vol. 1, No. 1, 2026

Opportunities and Challenges of GIS in the Era of Artificial Intelligence

-- Taking precision agriculture as an example

Jiaming Du

Tourism College of Xi’an International Studies University, Xi’an, China

Abstract: In the AI era, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) face dual transformations in precision agriculture. On the opportunity side: GIS constructs dynamic digital twins of farmland through intelligent integration of multi-source geographic data, significantly improving spatial heterogeneity resolution. Machine learning algorithms drive decision-making upgrades, enabling models for variable fertilization and pest warnings to transition from static experience to dynamic simulation paradigms. Lightweight technologies adapt to smallholder plots, providing low-threshold precision management solutions for fragmented farmland. On the challenge side: The structural contradiction between high technical costs and fragmented smallholder operations leads to diminished economies of scale. Insufficient regional generalization capabilities of spatial models constrain cross-regional technology transfer. Data privacy barriers and lack of cross-platform sharing mechanisms trigger "data silo" effects. The coordination dilemma between ecological protection and production efficiency exposes tensions between technological systems and agricultural sustainability goals. Future development requires establishing a "technology-institution-ecology" collaborative response mechanism: developing dynamic spatial calibration models to enhance regional adaptability, establishing a tiered technology promotion system to bridge scale disparities, and integrating ecological risk assessments to optimize resource input thresholds, thereby promoting inclusive innovation of GIS in agricultural modernization.

Keywords: Geographic Information System (GIS); Precision Agriculture; Artificial Intelligence (AI); Opportunities and Challenges.

1. Introduction

Agricultural development has generally progressed through stages from primitive agriculture to traditional agriculture, modern agriculture, and ultimately sustainable agriculture. As a major agricultural producer, China faces challenges such as scattered arable land distribution, low mechanization levels, and a long-standing reliance on "weather-dependent farming" practices. The arbitrary nature of production processes and operational methods has led to chronic overconsumption of fertilizers, pesticides, and water resources. With the deep integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and geographic information systems (GIS), innovative GIS applications in precision agriculture have become a central focus in agricultural modernization research. The emergence of GIS technology has laid a solid foundation for collecting, storing, analyzing, and managing production data. Scholars widely agree that AI-driven GIS technologies, through intelligent spatial data analysis, dynamic model construction, and optimized decision support, are reshaping the spatial organization logic of agricultural production[1-3]. To achieve sustainable agricultural development and maximize crop yields on limited land, China has recently emphasized the vigorous promotion of precision agriculture. Precision agriculture is an agricultural model that integrates technologies such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS), and Remote Sensing (RS) with agricultural production data to enable precise and intelligent management. Its core principle is "spatial differentiated management," which involves implementing tailored measures based on the characteristics of different areas within farmland. GIS has been widely used in resource management, environmental protection, traffic planning and land use, etc. It can provide services such as digitalization of resource information, spatial information management, regional planning, disaster assessment, system simulation and decision support for agricultural production, which greatly improves the informatization and modernization level of agricultural production.

2. Literature Review

Existing research on the integration of GIS and AI in precision agriculture can be summarized into two major dimensions: technological empowerment and social adaptation. In the dimension of technological empowerment, AI-driven innovations in GIS manifest through three breakthroughs: First, enhanced spatial data processing efficiency—deep learning algorithms have improved crop pest identification accuracy in remote sensing imagery to over 90% [2], while distributed computing frameworks have reduced computational time for soil nutrient spatial interpolation [6]. Second, intelligent dynamic decision-making models—reinforcement learning-based water and fertilizer management systems generate adaptive irrigation plans based on weather forecasts and soil moisture conditions, reducing error rates by 30% compared to traditional models [5]; the recommended fertilization expert system achieves spatially differentiated nitrogen application through integration of soil census data and crop growth models. Third, precise ecological risk assessment—coupled GIS-AI models quantify ecosystem impacts from unused land conversion, as demonstrated by a study in Hotan region showing that desertification of grasslands increased regional ecological risk indices by 12.6%[4]. The application of GIS in precision agriculture fundamentally represents a re-cognition and repractice of agricultural spatial heterogeneity under technological empowerment. On one hand, AI-driven GIS technology employs remote sensing image interpretation, soil moisture modeling, and crop growth prediction to conduct spatiotemporal coupling analysis between natural elements (e.g., soil fertility, climatic factors) and human factors (e.g., farming systems, household decision-making) at the field scale. This provides digital tools for traditional agricultural wisdom of "adapting to local conditions." For instance, GIS-based expert systems for recommended fertilization integrate soil survey data with crop growth models to achieve spatially differentiated fertilization decisions—a technical practice that fundamentally deconstructs the spatial patterns of agricultural production. On the other hand, the integration of GIS and AI in precision agriculture reflects humanistic tensions in technological social construction: In arid and semi-arid regions, GIS measurements of ecological risks in unused land reveal that land use transformation in agro-pastoral transition zones is constrained not only by natural conditions but also by farmers livelihood strategies and regional economic policies. In developed areas like the Yangtze River Delta, while GIS-supported rice production potential models optimize resource allocation efficiency, they face socioeconomic challenges such as low technical adoption among small farmers and fragmented agricultural operations [7].

The ongoing evolution of artificial intelligence has unlocked new possibilities for GIS applications in precision agriculture. Machine learning algorithms have enhanced the accuracy of crop pest and disease identification from remote sensing data, while reinforcement learning models optimize dynamic decision-making for farmland water and fertilizer management. Meanwhile, spatiotemporal big data analysis provides a technical foundation for early warning of agricultural ecological risks.

3. Working Principles of GIS and AI in Precision Agriculture

3.1. Spatial Data Integration

As the central hub of geospatial information, GIS systematically integrates multi-source heterogeneous data. It captures macro-level crop growth and pest distribution in farmland through remote sensing technology, achieves centimeter-level spatial positioning of plot boundaries, agricultural machinery locations, and sensor nodes via GPS, and combines real-time water and fertilizer parameters collected by ground-based IoT devices such as soil moisture sensors and weather stations. By employing geocoding and spatial interpolation techniques, GIS uniformly attributes these data with geographic coordinates, constructing a spatiotemporally continuous digital twin of farmland. This digital twin not only dynamically reflects spatial heterogeneity in terrain, soil texture, nutrient content, moisture status, and biological characteristics but also serves as the foundational data for subsequent precision decision-making.

3.2. Decision Model and Prescription Chart Generation

By leveraging digital twin technology for farmland, GIS employs statistical methods and spatial analysis models to analyze the spatial differentiation patterns of agricultural elements. For instance, Kriging interpolation transforms discrete sampling points into continuous soil fertility surfaces; buffer zone analysis and overlay operations identify risk areas for pest and disease spread; while path optimization algorithms guide agricultural machinery trajectories. Building on this foundation, GIS integrates agronomic knowledge rules (e.g., crop nutrient requirements curves, irrigation threshold models, pest and disease mechanisms) to convert spatial heterogeneity into actionable quantitative instructions. This generates variable fertilization prescription maps, precision irrigation gradient maps, and pest control priority maps. These prescription maps essentially serve as spatialized decision-making frameworks, clearly marking the categories, quantities, and temporal sequences of resource inputs within different geographic units.

3.3. Intelligent Execution and Spatial Feedback Optimization

The variable prescription map is transmitted to smart agricultural equipment via wireless networks. Autonomous farming machines and automated irrigation systems utilize high-precision positioning modules to dynamically align their real-time locations with the map coordinates, adjusting operational parameters according to predefined spatial instructions. For example, they reduce nitrogen fertilizer application in high-fertility zones, increase pesticide concentration in areas with dense pest egg clusters, and extend irrigation duration in drought-prone patches. During operation, sensors onboard the equipment continuously collect operational data (including actual fertilization amounts, soil response values, and crop physiological indicators), which are transmitted back to the GIS platform through IoT networks. By comparing spatial deviations between prescription targets and actual feedback, the GIS system iteratively optimizes decision-making models, forming a spatial intelligence closed loop of "perception—diagnosis—prescription—execution—verification".

4. Opportunities for GIS in Precision Agriculture

4.1. Integration of Multi-source Geospatial Data and Enhancement of Intelligent Analysis Capabilities

GIS integrates remote sensing imagery, soil sensor data, meteorological information, and farmland positioning data to construct a multidimensional spatial database, providing a standardized data foundation for precision agriculture. Li Tianlai demonstrated that GIS can couple soil census data with crop growth models to form spatiotemporal datasets encompassing land use, soil types, and yield distribution, enabling digital management of farmland information. (Chen Lian, 2015) [11]proposed that integrating GIS with Beidou Navigation and 4G networks allows real-time collection of soil temperature, humidity, and atmospheric pressure data, along with spatial coordinate matching, to support precision irrigation and fertilization. This data integration capability significantly enhances the digitalization level of agricultural production.

4.2. Breakthroughs in Precise Analysis and Dynamic Modeling of Farmland Spatial Heterogeneity

GIS employs geostatistical analysis and multi-factor overlay techniques to quantify spatial variations in soil fertility, crop growth, and other factors within farmland, providing a scientific basis for differentiated management. (Shi Guobin, 2011) [10]Utilizes GIS for hierarchical analysis and fuzzy evaluation of soil fertility, generating spatial distribution maps of production potential to accurately identify high-and low-yield areas. (He Shan, 2015) argues that GIS can integrate remote sensing spectral data with pest and disease databases, using machine learning algorithms to identify affected regions and achieve a closed-loop management system of "spatial positioning-disease diagnosis-solution recommendation." This capability to analyze spatial heterogeneity shifts farmland management from "experience-driven" to "data-driven."

4.3. Deep Integration of Intelligent Decision Support System and Variable Operations

By integrating agricultural production models (e.g., crop growth models, water-fertilizer balance models) with AI algorithms, GIS establishes an integrated "data-model-decision" system that transforms precision agriculture from "post-event regulation" to "pre-event early warning" (Zhu Xiaoyan, 2011). Through combining soil nutrient data with "3414" field trial data, GIS spatial analysis generates customized fertilization plans to guide variable fertilizer applicators for "one-mu-one-policy" implementation. Furthermore, GIS integrated with weather forecasting and evapotranspiration (ET) models produces precision irrigation prescriptions, optimizing water resource allocation. This intelligent decision-making capability significantly enhances the efficiency and accuracy of agricultural inputs.

4.4. Agricultural Ecological Risk Monitoring and Technological Empowerment for Sustainable Development

GIS provides quantitative tools for agricultural ecological protection and sustainable utilization through landscape pattern analysis and ecological modeling integration. Zheng Kefeng[13] By calculating the conversion rates of wasteland and sandy land using GIS, combined with vulnerability index assessments, it supports decision-making for land use planning in arid regions. Additionally, GIS can predict non-point source pollution dispersion paths via the SWAT model, aiding in soil and water conservation planning. This ecological risk monitoring capability enables precision agriculture to enhance yields while balancing environmental protection, thereby promoting sustainable agricultural development.

4.5. Expansion of Adaptability Technology and Regional Application Scenarios for Small Farmers

The lightweight and modular development of GIS technology has enabled precision agriculture applications for small-scale farmers. The soil moisture monitoring system developed by researchers employs low-cost sensors and Beidou positioning modules, lowering technical barriers and making it suitable for scattered farmland. Studies on rice production potential demonstrated that GIS models can optimize resource allocation by integrating smallholder plot characteristics, enhancing efficiency in small-scale farming. Furthermore, GISs adaptable applications across regions—such as water-saving irrigation in northern arid zones and yield forecasting in southern rice-growing areas—have expanded its scope in precision agriculture, fostering deeper integration between technology and regional agricultural traits.

5. Challenges in GIS Application for Precision Agriculture

5.1. Integration Barriers and Standardization Challenges of Multi-source Geospatial Data

Precision agriculture requires the integration of multi-source heterogeneous data, including remote sensing imagery, soil sensors, and meteorological data. However, significant differences exist in the spatiotemporal resolution, format standards, and update frequency of these data sources. highlighted that the integration of 3S technologies faces challenges in spatiotemporal matching between satellite remote sensing data (e.g., Landsat spectral resolution) and ground-based sensor data (e.g., minute-level soil moisture monitoring). Issues such as changes in farmland boundaries and insufficient sensor deployment density often lead to data gaps. Shen Shiqing noted that the compatibility between Beidou positioning data and 4G transmission protocols in soil moisture monitoring systems is inadequate, potentially causing data packet loss or coordinate deviations, which compromises the accuracy of GIS spatial analysis. These data integration barriers hinder the establishment of unified standards for farmland information models, thereby limiting the reliability of precision agriculture decision-making.

5.2. High Technical Costs and Compatibility Bottlenecks for Smallholder Farmers

GIS-driven precision agriculture technologies (e.g., drone remote sensing, variable rate fertilizers) face high initial costs, creating structural challenges with small farmers decentralized farming practices. Research on the recommended fertilization system in Minqin County revealed that 60% of small farmers could not afford GIS terminal equipment (e.g., field monitoring stations) costing over 30,000 yuan. Ding Meihuas survey in Shanghais rice - growing regions showed that small farmers fragmented plots (average <0.5 hectares) make GIS-generated "prescription maps" difficult to adapt, often resulting in overlapping or missed fertilization during mechanical operations. This "high technical threshold-fragmented operations" contradiction hinders the widespread adoption of precision agriculture in traditional farming areas.

5.3. Regional Adaptability and Generalization Limitations of Spatial Analysis Models

GIS-based agricultural production models frequently encounter "incompatibility" due to regional variations in natural conditions. Wen Yes research revealed that applying soil moisture models from northern arid zones directly to southern paddy fields resulted in irrigation decision errors exceeding 25% due to climatic zone differences. Wang Xiaoyings study on ar id and semi-arid regions demonstrated that landscape indices (e.g., fragmentation) in ecological risk assessment models for unused land exhibited significant weight discrepancies between agro-pastoral transitional zones and desert areas, making it challenging for unified models to account for diverse geomorphological features. These limitations in model generalization capacity necessitate extensive parameter fine-tuning for GIS technologys cross-regional application, thereby increasing implementation costs[18].

5.4. Lack of Data Privacy Protection and Cross-domain Sharing Mechanisms

Farmland data is vital for safeguarding farmers production privacy and regional agricultural security, yet current GIS applications lack a mature data governance framework. Zhao Shang noted that when sharing soil nutrient data and crop growth information from agricultural parks via GIS platforms, there exists a risk of personal plot data leakage. Moreover, incompatible data interfaces between GIS systems from different providers (e.g., Esri and SuperGIS) create "data silos." Wang Xiaoyings research on eco logical risks revealed that cross-regional data on unused land conversion struggles to integrate due to administrative barriers, limiting ecological risk assessments to county-level scales and hindering basin-wide agricultural planning. The absence of data-sharing mechanisms further restricts GISs potential in macro-level agricultural decision-making.

5.5. The Dilemma of Synergistic Optimization between Ecological Benefits and Production Efficiency

GIS-driven precision agriculture often faces a conflict between "yield enhancement" and "environmental protection" objectives. Through an analysis of unused land conversion in arid regions, the study indicates that if GIS models prioritize crop yield optimization, they may overdevelop wastelands into farmland, potentially increasing regional ecological risk indices by over 15%. Zhao Shangs research in agricultural ecology highlights that GIS-supported fertilizer reduction models applied to the North China Plain may exacerbate soil organic matter loss by neglecting traditional farmings ecological buffer functions (e.g., straw returning to soil carbon sequestration). This lack of ecological-production synergy optimization means that while GIS technology boosts agricultural efficiency, it may also trigger hidden ecological costs.

5.6. Lagging Technical Training System and the Worsening of the Rural Digital Divide

A significant gap exists between the specialized operational requirements of GIS technology and the digital literacy of farmers. Shen Shiqings research on soil moisture monitoring systems revealed that less than 30% of grassroots agricultural technicians are proficient in GIS spatial analysis modules (e.g., Kriging interpolation, buffer zone analysis), resulting in limited application of the technology to data visualization without enabling in-depth decision-making support. Yu Shuhui[14]A survey in Shanghais pilot zone showed that over 10% of farmers aged 55 and above accept GIS data query functions via mobile apps. While the technology dissemination relies on a three-tier training system (government-enterprise-farmer), current training programs predominantly focus on software operations without systematic explanations of GIS agricultural logic, hindering the development of a sustainable technological application ecosystem.

6. Conclusion and Discussion

The application of GIS in precision agriculture serves dual purposes: it provides technical solutions for agricultural spatial heterogeneity while digitally reconstructing human-land relationships. By integrating multi-source data and spatial modeling, it enables precise allocation of production factors, thereby enhancing resource utilization efficiency. However, technology dissemination faces significant socio-spatial disparities. Small-scale farmers, constrained by fragmented operations and cost barriers, struggle to benefit from technological advancements. Meanwhile, data privatization risks intensifying agricultural capitals control over supply chains, potentially triggering new social equity issues.

GIS applications are evolving toward system integration. Technologies [17]such as Remote Sensing (RS), Global Positioning System (GPS), artificial intelligence, cellular automata, and expert systems will be fully integrated with GIS systems in the future, forming a comprehensive information decision support system. This system will enable data mining, information processing, integrated analysis, and 3D dynamic simulation for complex agricultural challenges. GIS will not only serve as a tool to enhance agricultural productivity but also support policy-making that balances yield goals with environmental sustainability.

References

[1] Wang Suzhen, Wu Chongyou. Application research of 3S technology in precision agriculture [J]. China Agricultural Mechanization, 2010, (06):79-82.

[2] Wen Ye, Wang Songyan. Application and Research of GIS Technology in Precision Agriculture Models [J]. Jingwei Tiandi, 2020, (05):73-75.

[3] Zhao Shang, Zhong Kaiwen, Sun Caige. Application of GIS technology in the agricultural field[J]. Agricultural Mechanization Research, 2014,36(04):234-237.

[4] Wang Xiaoying, Liu Xinping. Ecological risk assessment of spatial changes in unused land in arid and semi-arid regions based on GIS[J]. Journal of Shandong Agricultural University (Natural Science Edition), 2022,53(02):294-301.

[5] Shen Shiqing, Liu Bin. GIS-based soil moisture information collection and monitoring system [J]. Modern Electronic Technology, 2024,47(04):33-38.

[6] Li Huifang, Yang Hude. Design and Research of a GIS-Based Expert System for Recommended Fertilization — A Case Study of Minqin County, Gansu Province [J]. Journal of Northwest Normal University, 2023,59(02):85-90.

[7] Ding Meihua, Yang Xingwei, Li Xiangge, et al. A GIS-based estimation model for rice production potential in precision agriculture in Shanghai: Considering the impact of spatial differences in soil fertility [J]. Soil Bulletin, 2006, (01):56-60.

[8] Hu Lijia, Li Tianlai. Issues and Countermeasures in the Development of Precision Agriculture in China [J]. Journal of Shenyang Agricultural University (Social Sciences Edition), 2005,7(4):400-402.

[9] Chen Lian, Zhou Weijun, Yu De, et al. Advances and Prospects of GIS Application in Precision Agriculture [J]. Anhui Agricultural Science, 2015(22):352-354.

[10] Shi Guobin. Research progress on the application of GPS and GIS technologies in precision agriculture monitoring systems [J]. Hubei Agricultural Science, 2011(10):1948-1950.

[11] He Shan, Sun Yuanyuan, Shen Zhangquan, et al. Research perspectives on the implementation pathways and technologies of precision fertilization models in the big data era [J]. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Fertilization, 2015(6):1514-1524.

[12] Zhu Xiaoyan. A Brief Discussion on the Current Status and Prospects of GIS Application in Agriculture [J]. Agricultural and Forestry Science and Technology, 2011,14(4):60-62.

[13] Zheng Kefeng, Zhu Lili. Overall design and implementation of agricultural geographic information system[J]. Zhejiang Agricultural Science, 2005(4):244-246.

[14] Yu SH. Digital agriculture and its realization technology[J]. Journal of Agricultural Library and Information Science, 2004,15(7):43-44.

[15] Wu Huanping. Application of GIS in Meteorology[J]. Meteorology, 2010,36(3):90-100.

[16] Liu X, Xiao GJ. Preliminary study on the application of GIS technology in regional agricultural planning[J]. Guangdong Agricultural Science, 2013(1):204-206.

[17] China GIS Association Resources and Environment Professional Committee. Application and Development of GIS Technology in Environmental Resources Work [J]. Geographic Information World, 2004,22(5):19-22.

[18] Wang Shen, Liu Deying. Research on the Application of "3S" Technology in Precision Agriculture [J]. Journal of Hotan Normal College, 2006,25(5):359-361.